



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Life Application

Inventors: Jonathan Foote; Subutai Ahmad;
John Boreczky
Appl. No.: 09/370,406
Confirm. No.: 8078
Filed: August 9, 1999
Title: AUTOMATIC VIDEO SYSTEM USING
MULTIPLE CAMERAS


PATENT APPLICATION

Art Unit: 2712
Examiner: Unassigned

Customer No. 23910

RECEIVED
MAR 27 2001
Technology Center 2600

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited in the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, Art Unit 2712, Washington, DC 20231, on March 19, 2001.


Larry T. Harris, Reg. No. 44,745
Signature Date: March 19, 2001

(Attorney Signature)

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Commissioner for Patents
Art Unit 2712
Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

Transmitted with this communication in connection with the above-identified application is the following:

- ✓ A Second Information Disclosure Statement Under 37 C.F.R. §1.56.
- ✓ No fee is required with this communication.
- ✓ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge underpayment of any fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 06-1325. A duplicate copy of this authorization is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 3/19/01

By: 

Larry T. Harris
Reg. No. 44,745

FLIESLER DUBB MEYER & LOVEJOY LLP
Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 400
San Francisco, California 94111-4156
Telephone: (415) 362-3800



THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED
MAR 27 2001
Technology Center 2600

In re Application

Inventors: Jonathan Foote; Subutai Ahmad;
John Boreczky

Appl. No.: 09/370,406

Confirm. No.: 8078

Filed: August 9, 1999

Title: AUTOMATIC VIDEO SYSTEM USING
MULTIPLE CAMERAS

PATENT APPLICATION

Art Unit: 2712

Examiner: Unassigned

Customer No. 23910

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited in the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, Art Unit 2712, Washington, DC 20231, on March 19, 2001.

(Attorney Signature)

Larry T. Harris, Reg. No. 44,745

Signature Date: March 19, 2001

SECOND INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.56

Commissioner for Patents
Art Unit 2712
Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

It is requested that the information identified in this statement be considered by the Examiner and made of record in the above-identified application. This statement is not intended to represent that a search has been made or that the information cited in the statement is, or is considered to be, material to patentability as defined in 37 C.F.R. §1.56. If this is a continuation, divisional or continuation-in-part application, it is understood that the Examiner will consider all information which was considered by the Office in a parent application. MPEP §609. Such information therefore is not listed herein unless it is desired that the information be printed on a patent issuing from the subject application.

Enclosed with this statement are the following:

- ☒ Form PTO-1449. The Examiner is requested to initial the form and return it to the undersigned in accordance with M.P.E.P. §609.

- ✓ A copy of each cited document as required by 37 C.F.R. §1.98. Copies are not submitted of documents previously submitted by the applicant in a parent application from which benefit under 35 U.S.C. §120 is claimed, 37 C.F.R. §1.98(d)(1), with an information disclosure statement submitted in the parent application which complies with the Sept. 8, 2000 or subsequent revision of 37 C.F.R. §1.98(a-c). If any of the cited/submitted documents is in a foreign language, a concise explanation of relevance is provided pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.98(a)(3)(i). For foreign language documents cited in a search report by a foreign patent office, the requirement for a concise explanation of relevance is satisfied by the submission herewith of an English language version of the search report. MPEP §609A(3). If a written English-language translation of a non-English language document, or portion thereof, is within the possession, custody or control of, or is readily available to any individual designated in §1.56(c), a copy of the translation accompanies this statement, 37 C.F.R. §1.98(a)(3)(ii), and satisfies the requirement for a concise explanation of relevance, MPEP §609A(3).

This statement should be considered because:

✓ **37 C.F.R. §1.97(b).** This statement qualifies under 37 C.F.R. §1.97, subsection (b) because:

- (1) It is being filed within three months of the filing date of an application other than a continued prosecution application under § 1.53(d);
-- OR --
- (2) It is being filed within 3 months of entry of a national stage;
-- OR --
- (3) It is being filed before the mailing date of the first Office Action on the merits,
-- OR --
- (4) It is being filed before the mailing date of the first Office Action after the filing of a Request for Continued Examination under 37 C.F.R. §1.114.

✓ **Fee Authorization.** The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge underpayment of any additional fees or credit any overpayment associated with this communication to Deposit Account No. 06-1325. A duplicate copy of this authorization is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

FLIESLER DUBB MEYER & LOVEJOY LLP

Date: 3/19/01

By: 

Larry T. Harris, No. 44,745

Form PTO-1449 (Substitute)		U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE		Attorney Docket Number FXPL-01003US0		Serial/Patent Number 09/370,406	
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Use several sheets if necessary)				Applicants/Patent Owners Jonathan Foote; Subutai Ahmad; John Boreczko		RECEIVED MAR 27 2001 Technology Center 2600	
				Filing/Issue Date August 9, 1999			
U.S. PATENTS							
Examiner Initial		Patent Number	Issue Date	Inventor	Class	Subclass	Filing Date
	1.	4,772,942	September 20, 1988	Tuck	358	87	01/07/87
	2.	4,868,682	September 19, 1989	Shimizu et al.	358	335	06/25/87
	3.	4,974,073	November 27, 1990	Inova	358	87	01/14/88
	4.	5,023,725	June 11, 1991	McCutchen	358	231	10/23/89
	5.	5,185,667	February 9, 1993	Zimmerman	358	209	05/13/91
	6.	5,187,571	February 16, 1993	Braun et al.	358	85	02/01/91
	7.	5,444,478	August 22, 1995	Lelong et al.	348	39	12/28/93
	8.	5,619,255	April 8, 1997	Booth	348	36	08/19/94
	9.	5,649,032	July 15, 1997	Burt et al.	382	284	11/14/94
	10.	5,657,073	August 12, 1997	Henley	348	38	06/01/95
	11.	5,666,459	September 9, 1997	Ohta et al.	386	46	10/08/96
	12.	5,721,585	February 24, 1998	Keast et al.	348	36	08/08/96
	13.	5,973,726	October 26, 1999	Iijima et al.	348	38	03/17/97
	14.	6,078,701	June 20, 2000	Hsu et al.	382	294	05/29/98
OTHER DOCUMENTS (Include author (if any), title, publisher and place of publication, date and pertinent pages)							
	1.	Bennett, F. and Hopper, A., "Developments in Networked Multimedia," <i>In Proc. NEC Research Symposium in Multimedia Computing</i> , June 1995 ftp://ftp.orl.co.uk/pub/docs/orl/tr.95.6.ps.Z .					
	2.	Chen, S. and Williams, L., "View Interpolation for Image Synthesis," <i>Computer Graphics (SIGGRAPH'93)</i> , August 1993, pp. 279-288.					
	3.	Mann, S., "Compositing Multiple Pictures of the Same Scene," <i>Proc. 46th Annual Imaging Science and Technology Conference</i> , Cambridge, Massachusetts, May 1993.					
	4.	"OmniSight™ Solutions for Natural Meetings™: Technical Specifications for Typical System."					

OTHER DOCUMENTS (Include author (if any), title, publisher and place of publication, date and pertinent pages)	
5.	Operating Instructions, Sony EVI-D30 Color Video Camera.
	Smith, B. and Rowe, L., "Compressed Domain Processing of JPEG-Encoded Images," <i>Imaging Journal</i> , http://www.cs.cornell.edu/zeno/Papers/cdp/cdp_rtij96.pdf .
Examiner	Date Considered
<p>*EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.</p> <p>*1 = Copy not submitted because it was submitted in prior application SN <u> </u> / <u> </u>, filed <u> </u>, 20<u> </u>, relied on under 35 USC §120.</p> <p>*2 = Copy not submitted because it was submitted in prior application SN <u> </u> / <u> </u>, filed <u> </u>, 20<u> </u>, relied on under 35 USC §120.</p>	